


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/835,903	SINDHUSHAYANA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>New case</u>		
(1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Jian Maa</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>28 November 2006</u>	Time: <u>1pm</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: <u>N/A</u> .
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<u>N/A</u>			
Claims discussed:			
<u>N/A</u>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<u>N/A</u>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>A telephone call was made to Jian Maa on 11/28/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
 JOSEPH D. TORRES PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	